TRANSMITTAL SLIP		DATE .	
TO:			7 Apr 83
ROOM NO. 7E48	0/E Building Has		
	1143	·	

	IITTAL SLIP	DATE	7 Apr 8:	<del></del>
TO:	////		<u>, , , , p i   0, </u>	
	)/USSR			
<b>ROOM NO.</b> 7E62	BUILDING			
REMARKS:		Hqs.		
FROM:	F∲I			
	larry Rowen,	C/NIC		
OOM NO.	BUILDING	-/1120	EXTENSION	
M NO. 241	REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.		<u>'</u>	(47)

		STAT
·	5 April 1983	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Henry S. Rowen Chairman, National Intelligence Council	
FROM :	Deputy Director of Soviet Analysis	STAT
SUBJECT :	Impact of Regional Variations in Labor Force Growth in the USSR on Soviet National Income	and section of the se
REFERENCE :	Our Conversation Regarding This Subject	
primarily from the Transcaucase mobile, we have other things he In brief, the in resulting from and the Transca relative loss o republics where per worker is g	e the source of new labor in the 1980s will come the Turkic and Iranian people of Central Asia and us, where labor is generally less skilled and less examined the impact that this would have—all led equal—on the production of national income. In the mact is marginal. The relatively larger output a greater number of workers in the Central Asian sucasian republics is more than offset by the foutput incurred in the Slavic and Baltic the labor force is stagnating and where output generally higher.	. *
the more rapid productivity (inational average USSR in 1990 wo than it would be national average	ore specifically, under ceteris paribus condition growth of labor during 1981-90 in republics wher i.e., national income/worker) is lower than the ge means that total national income produced in tould be 3.4 billion rubles (or 0.7 percent) less be if the labor force in every republic grew at the rate (see table attached). The calculations	he he
shown assume the labor force or or a total grow conditions of a republics, over	hat national income grows at the same rate as the at an average annual rate of growth of 0.4 perce with of 4.1 percent for the decade. Under a differential rate of increase among the several rall growth would come to 3.4 percent in the peri	
1981-90.	•	STAT
Attachment: as stated.		

Approved For Release 2008/01/15 : CIA-RDP85T00153R000100070016-7

## National Income Produced in 1990 (Billion 1970 Rubles)

	Variable Rates of	Same Rate of
	Change in Labor Force <sup>a</sup>	Change in Labor Force <sup>b</sup>
RSFSR	277 0	
	277.0	292.4
Ukraine	78.1	810
Belorussia	19.6	19.8
Uzbek	27.8	21.5
Kazakh	28.0	24.9
Georgia	8.4	8.2
Azerb.	11.8	9.8
Lithuania	6.5	6.5
Moldavia	6.1	5.9
Latvia	<b>5.7</b> · ·	6.1
Kirgizia	5.6	4.7
Tadzhik	5.7	4.4
Armenia	6.4	5.8
Turkmen	4.8	3.7
Estonia	3.8	4.0
USSR	495.3	498.7

Accounts for differing growth rates of "able-bodied" population among republics in 1981-90. For purposes of this exercise, we assume that the labor force grows at the same rate as the able-bodied population (males, age 16-59; females, age 16-54).

Assumes "able-bodied" population and labor force in each republic grows at the same rate as the USSR as a whole.